



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/935,487	08/23/2001	Robert F. Rioux	BSC-187 (1002/257)	1401
21874	7590	02/24/2006	EXAMINER	
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			PELLEGRINO, BRIAN E	
P.O. BOX 55874			ART UNIT	
BOSTON, MA 02205			PAPER NUMBER	
			3738	
DATE MAILED: 02/24/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/935,487

Applicant(s)

RIOUX ET AL.

Examiner

Brian E Pellegrino

Art Unit

3738

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 December 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-8 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-3,7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bosley (5514176). Bosley illustrates (Fig. 2) a coil segment **14** with a middle portion **24** and proximal and distal windings spaced from each other. Bosley discloses that the middle portion has a diameter less than the proximal and distal ends, col. 5, lines 53-56. Bosley also shows a flexible polymer material **20** that encapsulates a portion of the coil segment. Fig. 1 shows the polymer forms a webbing between the windings. Fig. 9 shows the coil segment is extendable lengthwise. Bosley also discloses (see figures) the coil segment is compressible lengthwise, col. 5, lines 19-22. Bosley additionally discloses the flexible polymer material is silicone col. 4, lines 26-29. Bosley also discloses the coil can be a biocompatible wire made from steel or titanium, col. 4, lines 65-67. The examiner asserts that the claimed physical properties (low durometer) are present in the prior art silicone material to some extent even though they are not explicitly recited. Therefore, the examiner hereby burdens the applicant to show that these properties are not present in the prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 4,6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bosley '176 in view of Yachia et al. (5246445). Bosley is explained supra. However, Bosley does not disclose a cross-sectional area of the wire within the range of 0.0079mm^2 to 7.1mm^2 or the use of hooks at each of the proximal and distal ends of the prosthetic device. Yachia et al. teach a cross-sectional area of 0.0079mm^2 to 0.785mm^2 col. 4, lines 44,45. Yachia et al. also teach (Fig. 1a) a stent with hooks 3 at both the proximal and distal ends of the coil body for connection to a delivery system, col. 6, lines 13-16. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a wire with the cross-sectional area as taught by Yachia et al. with the device of Bosley in order to provide some greater structural support with the larger cross-sectional area wire. It would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate hooks at both proximal and distal ends of a stent as taught Yachia et al. in the device of Bosley such that the vessel apparatus does not dislodge from the instrument used to implant it. The addition of the hooks enables the surgeon to precisely place the vessel-opening device in its location without the apparatus being displaced during insertion.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bosley '176 in view of Hachtman et al. (5645559). Bosley is explained supra. However, Bosley does not disclose a low durometer silicone within the range of 0-60D. Hachtman et al. also teach that a silicone layer is placed on the stent to provide a barrier that prevents the growth of tissue through the stent and to support the flow of fluid through the lumen, col. 2, lines 14-18. Hachtman et al. also teach that low durometer silicone, such as 30D is placed on a stent, col. 4, lines 49-52. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Art Unit: 3738

skill in the art to use a 30D silicone as taught by Hachtman et al. for the silicone on Bosley's stent such that fluid flow is maintained through the lumen of the device while preventing tissue ingrowth.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/6/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Bosley does not disclose the coil segment is compressible and extendable lengthwise. However, it is noted that Fig. 9 of Bosley as mentioned above shows the coil segment clearly is extendable. It is also noted that Bosley as mentioned above disclosed the coil is compressible. Applicant also argues that Yachia and Bosley fail to teach spacing between the windings and cannot be combined. However, it should be noted that since a wound coil has multiple windings and is not a solid tube, it inherently has some spacing between the windings even it is minute, which applicant considers to be "no spacing". The use of the term "spacing" is terminology of relative degree, which has no basis of comparison. For this reason, it is considered broad and relatively unlimited.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within


Art Unit: 3738

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian E Pellegrino whose telephone number is 571-272-4756. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30am to 5pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached at 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TC 3700, AU 3738


BRIAN E. PELLEGRINO
PRIMARY EXAMINER